Comments: Issues - Title II - Restrictions (15 Mar. 78) (Keyed to Issues Paper Paragraphs)

- 5. I do not understand your comment, there are no Sections 241 through 246 in Title I.
- 6. The term "confidential records" as printed in S-2525 is inadequate. I believe your fix is an improvement but I raise the question, should we delete the word "material" used in the Bill? I am uncertain what the drafters had in mind by including the word but I find it is broadening to the definition and we lose nothing by keeping it. I also raise the point of limiting the definition to "prohibited by law". Information is now classified according to EO 11652, soon to be replaced.
- 15. I believe your suggested change would lead to confusion. The drafters wrote Part B for "Collection" and Part C for "retention and dissemination".
- 24. The suggested addition of a new paragraph (5) to Section 214, I believe adds confusion since Section 214 addresses the collection of information concerning U.S. persons and Section 219 addresses the collection of foreign information in the possession of U.S. persons.
- 26. I prefer to leave paragraph (1) of Section 215 as stated. By including tax records in paragraph (5) you must include the phrase "in accordance with applicable law" and by so doing you are limiting the requests for all of the other types of information desired "to applicable law". The other types of information listed may be requested and obtained by a "written finding of the AG or ..."
- 29. As I understand Section 216 (b) collection may continue beyond 180 days upon the written finding of a designated official of the intelligence community. This could mean "forever". Your suggested change would require "renewal" every 90 days, why impose additional administrative burden when the drafters provide an open-ended provision?
- 30. Section 216 (c) deals only with Members of the Armed Forces. If the drafters were only concerned with the Armed Forces why do we wish to add the administrative burden on all intelligence community entities for all employees?

Approved For Release 2004/10/28: CIA-RDP81M00980R000800040036-1

- 34. Section 219 (3) should not limit the collection of information in the possession of U.S. persons only to interviews of other persons. Other techniques are available and should be used and provisions for approval be provided.
- 37. See my comments above in paragraph 26 concerning your suggested change for Section 222 (c), (1) and (5).
- 38. I believe that the separation of Section 225 paragraphs (1) and (2) is desirable and serves clarity, rather than combining the two paragraphs as suggested in the issues paper.
- 46. In order to correct the deficiencies of Section 244 and provide clear understanding and guidance I recommend that a study be made of EO 12036 and the procedures which have been recommended by _______/OGC and his working group to implement Sections 2-207 and 2-307 of EO 12036 on Undisclosed Participation in Domestic Organizations. The wording of the implementation procedures are precise and carefully constructed so as to establish clear prohibitions and permitted activities.
- 48. I believe that the drafters in Section 246 intentionally worded this section to include all research on any human subject and not limit the research to "biomedical and behavioral" as suggested in the issues paper. Current Agency policy includes all research involving human subjects and we find that we can abide by, use and operate within the HEW Guidelines. I do not object to the suggested change but I feel that it will be difficult to change the drafters intent.
- 49. The amendment to title 18 U.S.C. 2235 is referred to in Section 251 (b) rather than 251 (a) as stated in the issues paper.
- 50. See my comments above re: paragraph 48 of the issues paper.
- 53. Agree that Section 256 on Statute of Limitations needs clarifying. However, the Statute of Limitations should not be based on when information is declassified or made available to the public, currently this may be as long as 30 years with extensions, a proposed new EO will state 20 years with extensions.

STAT

	Approve	ed For Releas	*DEPAN	18/2 A NE	A RECOI	M00380E00080004	0036-1	IV The second se
SUBJECT: (O	ptional)		* .			-		
		•				•.	78/399	136
FROM:					EXTENSION	NO.	101.379	736
SA/DDS&				Т		DATE		-
012	+0, 11q5.					21 March	1 1978	
TO: (Officer of building)	number, and	ond DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from			
Maria Artis		The state of the s	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line	ocross column after	each con
	7 (44	logc					7	
7D07,	"Has.					Per voi	ir memo ()GC-7:
(2	OLC		1.216 1.32			Per you 1620 dated I	5 March 7	8,
6D15,	Hqs.					attached are	comments	on '
4.3.1.200 mail			1000		าร์ก็การแล้ว	Soles a sign		1 2 2 3 2 2 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4
	California.						•.	
4.1	MANUAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF		7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	jeu .	o in the interest of	The man of the second	• *	1 1 2 2 2
							TO AND APPEAR	
35.		Service Company		1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1	1 (1 % CMC 4)			
		A 100 Table						
gages in the second			TOTAL STATE OF		建设在设置。	cc:	NFAC	
			3.20					
	Calchard S							
				新兴之	7-7-7-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	1/A	1:0	
	Control of the Contro							
igeneral and					4330002			(本) (2) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4
			7 7 7 7	+10				
					V. 4.2 d.			
1035		and the second						rijus, ri lēj Laiks ja
		62.7		A CONTRACTOR		Chamile Million Consultation of the State of the Consultation of t		
3. 3. A					A PAR MAN			
	7.0							
	7663.44 1663.4		STATE OF	(Alfaber 1913) (Alfaber 1913)	14 10 10			
Service Control		The state of the s		100				
13.	enderstäte Programme						en jorgen gebruik	the given
	. A Compare		-			••		
14.								
	-							
15.	* . !!					·		
•		ed For Releas	•				•	